J. Commut. Algebra 8 (2016), no. 1, 113-141. http://dx.doi.org/10.1216/JCA-2016-8-1-113.

arXiv:1411.1382v2 [math.RA] 29 Mar 2016

The ring of polynomials integral-valued over a finite set of integral elements

Giulio Peruginelli*

March 30, 2016

Abstract

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K and Ω a finite subset of D. McQuillan proved that the ring $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega, D)$ of polynomials in $K[X]$ which are integer-valued over Ω, that is, $f \in K[X]$ such that $f(\Omega) \subset D$, is a Prüfer domain if and only if D is Prüfer. Under the further assumption that D is integrally closed, we generalize his result by considering a finite set S of a D-algebra A which is finitely generated and torsion-free as a D-module, and the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, A)$ of integer-valued polynomials over S, that is, polynomials over K whose image over S is contained in A. We show that the integral closure of $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, A)$ is equal to the contraction to $K[X]$ of $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega_S, D_F)$, for some finite subset Ω_S of integral elements over D contained in an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K, where D_F is the integral closure of D in $F = K(\Omega_S)$. Moreover, the integral closure of $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, A)$ is Prüfer if and only if D is Prüfer. The result is obtained by means of the study of pullbacks of the form $D[X] + p(X)K[X]$, where $p(X)$ is a monic non-constant polynomial over D: we prove that the integral closure of such a pullback is equal to the ring of polynomials over K which are integral-valued over the set of roots Ω_p of $p(X)$ in $\overline{K}$.

Keywords: Pullback, Integral closure, Integer-valued polynomial, Divided differences, Prüfer ring. MSC Classification codes: 13B25 (primary), 13F20, 13B22, 13F05 (secondary).

1 Introduction

Rings of integer-valued polynomials are a prominent source for providing examples of non-Noetherian Prüfer domains (see the book [6, Chapt. VI, p. 123]). Throughout this paper, D is an integral domain which is not a field, and K is its quotient field. We denote by $\overline{K}$ a fixed algebraic closure of K and by $\overline{D}$ the integral closure of D in $\overline{K}$. We give the following definition, which generalizes the classical definition of the ring of integer-valued polynomials over a subset ([6, Chapt. I.1, p. 3]).

Definition 1.1. Let R be an integral domain containing D. Let F be the quotient field of R (so that $K \subseteq F$). For a subset Ω of F we set

$$\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, R) \doteq \{f \in K[X] \mid f(\Omega) \subset R\},$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Padova, Via Trieste, 63 35121 Padova, Italy. E-mail: gperugin@math.unipd.it

which is the ring of polynomials in $K[X]$ which map every element of Ω into R. If $F = K$ we omit the subscript K. Thus, $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega, R)$ is a subring of $K[X]$ (the coefficients of the relevant polynomials are in the quotient field of R).

In the case of a finite subset Ω of D, McQuillan studied the algebraic structure of the corresponding ring of integer-valued polynomials $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega, D)$, describing the spectrum of such a ring and also its additive structure ([13]). Using McQuillan's arguments, in [5] Boynton observed that $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega, D)$ fits in a pullback diagram. Here we generalize this class of rings by considering first a finite set Ω of integral elements in $\overline{D}$ and polynomials in $K[X]$ which preserve the integrality of the elements of Ω, that is, for each α in Ω, $f(\alpha)$ is integral over D; according to the above definition, this ring is denoted by $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, \overline{D})$. For example, given a monic non-constant polynomial $p \in D[X]$, let Ω_p be the set of roots of $p(X)$ in a splitting field. Then the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ is of the above kind, and it is not difficult to show that the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, \overline{D})$, for a finite set Ω of $\overline{D}$, can be reduced to this case. More generally, we consider a finite set S of integral elements over D which do not necessarily lie in an algebraic extension of K, i.e.: S is contained in a D-algebra A, which is finitely generated and torsion-free as a D-module (for example, a matrix algebra or a quaternion algebra). We consider then polynomials in $K[X]$ which map the elements of S into A: $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, A) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(S) \subset A\}$. Note that A is not necessarily commutative and may contain zero-divisors, and each of its elements satisfies a monic polynomial over D.

Given a monic polynomial $p(X)$ in $D[X]$, the study of the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ we are going to do goes through another kind of pullback ring. As for the rings $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, \overline{D})$, the rings we introduce now are the pullbacks of the canonical residue map $K[X] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$ with respect to some subring of $\frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$, thus they are subrings of $K[X]$ sharing with $K[X]$ the ideal $p(X)K[X]$.

Definition 1.2. Let $p(X)$ be a non-constant monic polynomial in $D[X]$. We consider the following subring of $K[X]$:

$$D(p) \doteqdot D[X] + p(X) \cdot K[X] = \{r(X) + p(X)q(X) \,|\, r \in D[X], q \in K[X]\}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that the elements of this set form a ring under the usual operation of sum and product induced by the polynomial ring $K[X]$. In Lemma 1.6 we will show that a polynomial $f(X)$ in $K[X]$ is in $D(p)$ if and only if the remainder in the division of $f(X)$ by $p(X)$ is in $D[X]$. Note that the principal ideal $p(X) \cdot K[X]$ of $K[X]$ is also an ideal of $D(p)$. We have then the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(p) & \hookrightarrow & K[X] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{D(p)}{p(X)K[X]} & \hookrightarrow & \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]} \end{array}$$

so that $D(p)$ is a pullback of $K[X]$ (for a general reference about pullbacks see [9]). Examples of such pullbacks appear in [5], and more widely in [16].

We see at once that $D(p)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$. Also, $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ has the ideal $p(X)K[X]$ in common with $K[X]$, so, like $D(p)$, also $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ is a pullback ring. This point of view is clearly a generalization of [5, Example 4.4 (1)], which we briefly recall below in section 1.2.

We give some motivation which led us to study the pullback rings $D(p) = D[X] + p(X) \cdot K[X]$. In [16] this kind of polynomial pullback arose as the ring of integer-valued polynomials over certain subsets of matrices. Let $M_n(D)$ be the D-algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in D and let $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D)) = \{f \in K[X] \,|\, f(M_n(D)) \subset M_n(D)\}$, the ring of integer-valued polynomials over $M_n(D)$. Given a monic polynomial $p \in D[X]$ of degree n, we denote by $M_n^p(D)$ the set of matrices M in $M_n(D)$ whose characteristic polynomial is equal to $p(X)$. We consider the overring of $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ made up by those polynomials which are integer-valued over $M_n^p(D)$, namely:

$$\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n^p(D), M_n(D)) = \{f \in K[X] \,|\, f(M_n^p(D)) \subset M_n(D)\}$$

This partition of $M_n(D)$ into subsets of matrices having prescribed characteristic polynomial was used in [16] to give a characterization of the polynomials of $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ in terms of their divided differences (see [16, Theorem 4.1]). By [16, Lemma 2.2 & Remark 2.1] we have

$$\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n^p(D), M_n(D)) = D(p).$$

In particular, the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ is represented as an intersection of pullbacks $D(p)$, as $p(X)$ ranges through the set of all the monic polynomials in $D[X]$ of degree n ([16, Remarks 2.1 and 2.2]). In [17] the authors address the following question, which generalizes the previous case: for a D-algebra A as above, where D is integrally closed, we consider the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(A) \subset A\}$ of integer-valued polynomials over A. Is $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ equal to the intersection of pullbacks of the form $D(p)$? In general, we have

$$\bigcap_{a \in A} D(\mu_a) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A),$$

where, for $a \in A$, $\mu_a(X)$ denotes the minimal polynomial of a over K (by assumption on A and D, $\mu_a \in D[X]$ and is monic). The conditions under which the previous containment is an equality are not known.

Throughout the paper, given a monic polynomial $p(X)$ in $D[X]$, we denote by Ω_p the multi-set of its roots in $\overline{K}$ (we recall the notion of multi-set in section 2).

This work is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall a characterization for the polynomials in $D(p)$ in terms of their divided differences. We use this result to show that the ring $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(\Omega, D)$ of polynomials whose divided differences of order less than or equal to n are integer-valued over a subset Ω of D can be represented as an intersection of such pullbacks. This ring has been introduced by Bhargava in [1]; we recall the definition in that section. In section 3 we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $p(X)$ a monic non-constant polynomial in $D[X]$. Then the integral closure of the ring $D(p) = D[X] + p(X)K[X]$ is the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$.*

As a corollary, we show that the integral closure of $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(\Omega, D)$ is equal to the ring $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega, D)$, in the case of a finite subset Ω of D. For a general subset Ω of D, in the case where D has finite residue rings, an argument from [17] gives the same conclusion. In section 4, we prove the main theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *Assume D integrally closed and let Ω be a finite subset of $\overline{D}$. Then the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, \overline{D})$ is Prüfer if and only if D is Prüfer.*

If $\Omega \subset D$, then this is precisely the main result obtained by McQuillan. The crucial remark is that, for a monic polynomial $p(X)$ in $D[X]$, $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D}) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_F(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ is an integral ring extension, where $F = K(\Omega_p)$ is the splitting field of $p(X)$. It is not difficult to see that $\mathrm{Int}_F(\Omega, \overline{D})$ is equal to $\mathrm{Int}_F(\Omega, D_F)$, where D_F is the integral closure of D in F, and this is precisely the kind of ring considered by McQuillan. We note that this is a partial answer to [17, Question 29], where we asked if $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, \overline{D})$ is Prüfer, when Ω is a subset of integral elements of degree over K bounded by some positive integer n. If D is integrally closed, we give also a criterion to establish when the pullback $D(p)$ is integrally closed, that is, equal to $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ (see Theorem 4.5). In particular, in the case of a Prüfer domain D, this condition is satisfied automatically if $D(p)$ is integrally closed.

Finally, in the last section, we apply the previous results in the more general setting of a finite set S of integral elements over D which do not necessarily lie in an algebraic extension of K.

Corollary 1.5. *Assume D integrally closed and let S be a finite set of a torsion-free D-algebra A, which is finitely generated as a D-module. Let Ω_S be the set of roots in $\overline{D}$ of the minimal polynomials of s over D, as s ranges through S. Then the integral closure of $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, A)$ is $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_S, \overline{D})$.*

1.1 Preliminary results

In the case of a monic polynomial, the following lemma determines the quotient of $D(p)$ by the ideal $p(X)K[X]$. We denote by $\pi : K[X] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$ the canonical residue map, which associates to a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ the residue class $f(X) + p(X)K[X]$.

Lemma 1.6. *Let $p \in D[X]$ be a monic non-constant polynomial. Then $D(p)$ is the pullback of $\frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]} \hookrightarrow \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$ with respect to the canonical residue map $\pi : K[X] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$. In other words, the following is a pullback diagram (i.e.: $D(p) = \pi^{-1}(\frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]})$):*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(p) & \hookrightarrow & K[X] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]} & \hookrightarrow & \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]} \end{array}$$

In particular, a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ belongs to $D(p)$ if and only if the remainder in the division by $p(X)$ in $K[X]$ belongs to $D[X]$. Equivalently, we have

$$\frac{D(p)}{p(X) \cdot K[X]} \cong \frac{D[X]}{p(X) \cdot D[X]}.$$

Proof. Since $p(X)$ is monic, we have two consequences. Firstly, $D[t] \cong \frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]}$ is a free D-module of rank $n = \deg(p)$ with basis $\{1, t, \ldots, t^{n-1}\}$, where t is the residue class of X modulo $p(X)D[X]$. In particular, every element $r \in D[t]$ can be uniquely represented as $r(t) = \sum_{i=0,\ldots,n-1} c_i t^i$, with $c_i \in D$. Secondly, $p(X) \cdot K[X] \cap D[X] = p(X) \cdot D[X]$, so the image of the restriction of π to $D[X]$ is isomorphic to $D[t]$. Therefore, $D[t] \cong \frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]}$ embeds naturally into $\frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]} \cong K[t]$ (the class $X \pmod{p(X)D[X]}$ is mapped to $X \pmod{p(X)K[X]}$, so without confusion we may denote them with the same letter t). Note that $K[t]$ is a free K-module of rank n with the same basis $\{1, t, \ldots, t^{n-1}\}$.

We consider now the composition of mappings $D[X] \hookrightarrow D(p) \twoheadrightarrow D(p)/p(X)K[X]$. By the second consequence above and by the second isomorphism theorem we have the isomorphism of the claim. More explicitly, given $f \in K[X]$, there exist (uniquely determined) a quotient $q \in K[X]$ and a remainder $r \in K[X]$ (with either $r = 0$ or $\deg(r) < \deg(p)$) such that $f(X) = r(X) + q(X)p(X)$. Hence, if $r(X) = \sum_i c_i X^i$, then $\pi(f) = \pi(r) = r(t) = \sum_i c_i t^i \in K[t]$. From the algebraic structure of $D[t]$ we deduce that $r(t)$ is in $D[t]$ if and only if the remainder $r(X)$ is in $D[X]$. This condition in turn is equivalent to $f \in D(p)$. □

Lemma 1.7. *Let $p, q \in D[X]$ be monic polynomials. Then*

$$D(p) \text{ is contained in } D(q) \Leftrightarrow p(X) \text{ is divisible by } q(X).$$

In particular, $D(p) = D(q) \Leftrightarrow p(X) = q(X)$.

Proof. One direction is easy. Conversely, suppose $D(p) \subseteq D(q)$ so that $p(X) = r(X) + q(X)k(X)$, for some $r \in D[X]$, $r = 0$ or $\deg(r) < \deg(q)$, $k \in K[X]$. If $r \neq 0$, let $c \in K \setminus D$ be such that $c \cdot r(X)$ is not in $D[X]$. Then $c \cdot p$ is in $D(p)$ but it is not in $D(q)$, contradiction. Notice that $k(X)$ has to be in $D[X]$ (see also [12, Lemma]). □

The following two cases, linear and irreducible polynomial, are given as an example and to further illustrate the connection between polynomial pullbacks and rings of integer-valued polynomials.

1.2 Linear case

In the linear case the connection between the polynomial pullbacks and ring of integer-valued polynomials over finite sets becomes evident. Suppose $p(X) = X - a \in D[X]$. Then the remainder of the division of a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ by $X - a$ is the value of $f(X)$ at a. Hence,

$$D(p) = D + (X - a) \cdot K[X] = \mathrm{Int}(\{a\}, D)$$

It is well-known (see for example [6, Proposition IV.4.1]) that $\mathrm{Int}(\{a\}, D)$ is integrally closed if and only if D is. It is easy to see that the integral closure of $\mathrm{Int}(\{a\}, D)$ is $\mathrm{Int}(\{a\}, D')$, where D' is the integral closure of D in K (notice that $\mathrm{Int}(\{a\}, D') = D' + (X - a)K[X]$ is a pullback). More generally, we recall the following result.

Lemma 1.8. *Let $E \subset K$ be a finite set. Then the integral closure of $\mathrm{Int}(E, D)$ is $\mathrm{Int}(E, D')$, where D' is the integral closure of D in K.*

Proof. By [6, Proposition IV.4.1], $\mathrm{Int}(E, D')$ is integrally closed. Conversely, take $f \in \mathrm{Int}(E, D')$. Then for each $a \in E$, there exists a monic polynomial $m_{f(a)} \in D[X]$ such that $m_{f(a)}(f(a)) = 0$. We consider the monic polynomial of $D[X]$ equal to the product of the $m_{f(a)}(X)$'s, as a ranges through E. Then $m(f(X))$ is in $\mathrm{Int}(E, D)$, because for each $a \in E$ we have $m(f(a)) = 0 \in D$. This gives a monic equation for $f(X)$ over $\mathrm{Int}(E, D)$. □

Remark 1.9. We recall now the following observation made in [5]. Under the assumptions of Lemma 1.8, $\mathrm{Int}(E, D)$ is the pullback of $\prod_{i=1}^{m} D \subset \prod_{i=1}^{m} K$ with respect to the canonical mapping $\pi : K[X] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{m} K$, where $p(X) = \prod_{a \in E}(X - a)$. The map π is given by $f(X) \mapsto (f(a))_{a \in E}$. Notice also that $p(X)K[X]$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{Int}(E, D)$, because every polynomial of $K[X]$ which is divisible by $p(X)$ is zero on E. In particular, we have the following isomorphism of D-modules

$$\frac{\mathrm{Int}(E, D)}{p(X)K[X]} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{m} D$$

1.3 Irreducible polynomial case

We suppose now that D is integrally closed and $p(X)$ is a monic irreducible polynomial in $D[X]$ of degree $n > 0$. It is easy to see (see for example [12] or [2, Proposition 11, Chapt. V]) that $p(X)$ is irreducible in $K[X]$, so that $p \in D[X]$ is also prime and $D[X]/(p(X)) \cong D[\alpha]$, where α is a root of $p(X)$ in $\overline{K}$. The next proposition follows by [15, Prop. 3.1] (which is proved in the case $D = \mathbb{Z}$). We sketch the proof for the sake of the reader, giving emphasis to the relevant points.

Proposition 1.10. *Let $p \in D[X]$ be a monic and irreducible polynomial, with set of roots $\Omega_p \subset \overline{K}$. Let $F = K(\Omega_p)$ be the splitting field of $p(X)$ over K and D_F the integral closure of D in F. For each $\alpha \in \Omega_p$ we set*

$$S_\alpha \doteqdot \mathrm{Int}_K(\{\alpha\}, D_\alpha),$$

where D_α is the integral closure of D in $K(\alpha) \subseteq F$.

Then, for each $\alpha \in \Omega_p$, $S_\alpha = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$ and this ring is the integral closure of $D(p)$. Moreover, $D(p)$ is integrally closed if and only if $D_\alpha = D[\alpha]$, for some (hence all) $\alpha \in \Omega_p$.

Proof. Using a Galois-invariance argument it is easy to show that the ring S_α does not depend on the choice of the root α of $p(X)$ and is equal to $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$. We observe that $S_\alpha = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(\alpha) \text{ is integral over } D\}$. Then for a polynomial $f \in S_\alpha$ and for every conjugate α' of α over K, $f(\alpha')$ is integral over D as well. Since $D[\alpha]$, for $\alpha \in \Omega_p$, is a free D-module of rank n, we can show that

$$D(p) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(\alpha) \in D[\alpha]\} = \mathrm{Int}_K(\{\alpha\}, D[\alpha]).$$

Finally, using a pullback diagram argument, since D_α is the integral closure of $D[\alpha]$ in $K(\alpha)$, we deduce that $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$ is the integral closure of $D(p)$ (see [15, Proposition 3.1] for the details). □

In particular, the proposition shows that all the subrings $\mathrm{Int}(\{\alpha\}, D_F) \subset F[X]$, for $\alpha \in \Omega_p$, contracts in $K[X]$ to the same ring S_α. Notice also that $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ is equal to $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$, where D_F is the integral closure of D in the splitting field $F = K(\Omega_p)$ of $p(X)$ over K.

2 Pullbacks and divided differences

In this section we recall a result of [16] which characterizes a polynomial $f(X)$ in a pullback $D(p) = D[X] + p(X) \cdot K[X]$ in terms of a finite set of conditions on the evaluation of the divided differences of $f(X)$ at the roots of $p(X)$ in $\overline{K}$. We use this result to show that the ring of integer-valued polynomials whose divided differences are also integer-valued can be represented as an intersection of such pullbacks.

Given a polynomial $f \in K[X]$, the divided differences of $f(X)$ are defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^0(f)(X_0) &\doteq f(X_0) \\
\Phi^1(f)(X_0, X_1) &\doteq \frac{f(X_0) - f(X_1)}{X_0 - X_1} \\
&\dots \\
\Phi^k(f)(X_0, \dots, X_k) &\doteq \frac{\Phi^{k-1}(f)(X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}) - \Phi^{k-1}(f)(X_0, \dots, X_{k-2}, X_k)}{X_{k-1} - X_k}
\end{aligned}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi^k(f)$ is a symmetric polynomial over K in $k+1$ variables (see [7], [16], [18] and [19] for the main properties of the divided differences of a polynomial). We recall here that, given a finite sequence of elements $a_0, \dots, a_n$ of a commutative ring R, and a polynomial $f \in R[X]$ of degree $\leq n$ we have the following expansion due to Newton:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(X) = f(a_0) + \Phi^1(f)(a_0, a_1)(X - a_0) + \Phi^2(f)(a_0, a_1, a_2)(X - a_0)(X - a_1) + \dots \\
+ \Phi^n(f)(a_0, \dots, a_n)(X - a_0) \cdot \dots \cdot (X - a_{n-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Since in general a polynomial may not have distinct roots, we need to recall the following definition.

Definition 2.1. A **multi-set** is a collection of elements Ω in which elements may occur multiple times. The number of times an element occurs is called its multiplicity in the multi-set. The cardinality of a multi-set Ω is defined as the number of elements of Ω, each of them counted with multiplicity. The underlying set of Ω is the (proper) set containing the distinct elements in Ω.
A multi-set Ω_1 is a sub-multi-set of a multi-set Ω_2 if every element α of Ω_1 of multiplicity n_1 belongs to Ω_2 with multiplicity $n_2 \geq n_1$.

Remark 2.2. Let Ω be a multi-set of cardinality n and let S be the underlying set of Ω. The choice of an ordering on the elements of Ω corresponds to a n-tuple in S^n (we have thus $n!$ choices). Conversely, given an n-tuple $\underline{s}$ in S^n, where S is a set, if we do not consider the order its components, we have a multi-set Ω of cardinality n.

Remark 2.3. A particular ring of integer-valued polynomials involving divided differences has been introduced by Bhargava in [1]. Given a subset S of D and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider those polynomials $f(X)$ in $K[X]$ whose k-th divided difference $\Phi^k(f)$ is integer-valued on S for all $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, namely:

$$\mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(S, D) \doteq \{f \in K[X] \mid \forall 0 \leq k \leq n,\ \Phi^k(f)(S^{k+1}) \subset D\}.$$

For $n = 0$ we recover the ring $\mathrm{Int}(S, D)$, which contains $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(S, D)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Given $f \in \mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(S, D)$ and $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ we have:

$$\forall (a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}) \in S^{k+1},\ \Phi^k(f)(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}) \in D. \qquad (*)$$

Since $\Phi^k(f)$ is a symmetric polynomial in $k+1$ variables, for all permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$ we have $\Phi^k(f)(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}) = \Phi^k(f)(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k+1)})$. Hence, we may disregard the order of the components of the chosen $(k+1)$-tuple. If we consider a multi-set Ω of cardinality $k+1$ formed by elements of S, we may define $\Phi^k(f)(\Omega)$ as the value of $\Phi^k(f)$ at one of the $(k+1)$-tuple associated to Ω. Thus we choose an ordering of Ω and, by above, the value $\Phi^k(f)(\Omega)$ does not depend on the chosen ordering. Notice that Ω is not necessarily a sub-multi-set of S. We only require that the underlying set of Ω is contained in S. For example, if $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $k = 1$, we have $\{1, 1\}$, $\{1, 3\}$ and $\{2, 2\}$ as possible choices for Ω.

We may rephrase the above property (*) by saying that for all multi-sets Ω of cardinality $k+1$ such that the underlying set Ω' is contained in S, we have $\Phi^k(f)(\Omega) \in D$.

NOTATION. We fix now the notation for the rest of this section.

- $p(X)$ is a monic non-constant polynomial in $D[X]$ of degree n.
- $\Omega_p = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ is the multi-set of roots of $p(X)$ in $\overline{K}$ (the α_i's are integral over D).
- $F = K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ the splitting field of $p(X)$.
- D_F the integral closure of D in F.

Given $f \in F[X]$, whenever we expand $f \in F[X]$ as in (2.1) in terms of the roots Ω_p of $p(X)$, we implicitly assume that an order of Ω_p has been fixed (so we choose one of the $n!$ associated n-tuples). Changing the order of Ω_p will give a different expansion.

We need now the following preliminary lemma: the divided differences of a polynomial $p(X)$ are zero when they are evaluated at the roots of the polynomial $p(X)$ itself.

Lemma 2.4. *For every sub-multi-set Ω of Ω_p of cardinality $k+1$, $k < n-1$, we have $\Phi^k(p)(\Omega) = 0$, and $\Phi^{n-1}(p)(\Omega_p) = 1$. Equivalently, we have:*

$$\Phi^k(p)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad 0 \le k < n \\ 1, & \text{if} \quad k = n \end{cases}$$

for any possible choice of an ordering for Ω_p.

Proof. We fix an ordering for Ω_p. We consider the Newton expansion of $p(X)$ over F with respect to Ω_p up to the order n ($p(X)$ is split over F). The coefficients of this expansion are exactly $\{\Phi^k(p)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1})\}_{0 \le k \le n}$, where for $k = n$ we have the leading coefficient of $p(X)$ which is 1. Since $p(X)$ is divisible by itself, all the other coefficients in this expansion are zero. Obviously, the result does not depend on the chosen ordering for Ω_p. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f \in K[X]$ and let $r \in K[X]$ be the unique remainder in the division of $f(X)$ by $p(X)$ in $K[X]$. If $r \neq 0$, let $m < n$ be the degree of $r(X)$. Then over $F[X]$ we have*

$$r(X) = f(\alpha_1) + \Phi^1(f)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cdot (X - \alpha_1) + \ldots + \Phi^m(f)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{m} (X - \alpha_i) \qquad (2.2)$$

which is the Newton expansion of $r(X)$ with respect to $\Omega_p = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$.

Proof. If $f(X) = q(X)p(X) + r(X)$, by linearity of the divided difference operator, we have $\Phi^k(f) = \Phi^k(r) + \Phi^k(p \cdot q)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, by the so-called Leibniz rule for divided differences (see for example [18]), we have $\Phi^k(p \cdot q) = \sum_{i=0,\dots,k} \Phi^i(p)\Phi^{k-i}(q)$ (we omit the variables). By Lemma 2.4, for $0 \leq k < n$, we get that

$$\Phi^k(f)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) = \Phi^k(r)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}). \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that, for $k = m$ the above value is the leading coefficient of $r(X)$, and for $m < k < n$ it is zero. Because of the last formula, $r(X)$ has the desired expansion over $F[X]$. □

By means of Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 we give a new proof of [16, Proposition 4.1], which says that a polynomial $f(X)$ of $K[X]$ is in $D(p)$ if and only if the divided differences of $f(X)$ up to the order $n-1$ are integral on every sub-multi-set of the multi-set Ω_p of the roots of $p(X)$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let D be an integrally closed domain with quotient field K. Let $f \in K[X]$ and $p \in D[X]$ monic of degree n. Let $\Omega_p = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be the multi-set of roots of $p(X)$ in a splitting field F over K. Then the following are equivalent:*

i) $f \in D(p)$.

ii) for all $0 \leq k < n$, $\Phi^k(f)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) \in D[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}]$.

iii) for all $0 \leq k < n$, $\Phi^k(f)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) \in D_F$.

Proof. If i) holds, let $f(X) = r(X) + p(X)q(X)$, for some $q \in K[X]$, $r \in D[X]$, $\deg(r) < n$ or $r = 0$. In particular, the divided differences of $r(X)$ are polynomials with coefficients in D. By (2.3), $\Phi^k(f)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) = \Phi^k(r)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) \in D[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}]$, for all the relevant k's. Hence, i)⇒ ii).

Obviously ii)⇒ iii), since the roots of $p(X)$ are integral over D, so that $D[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}] \subseteq D_F$.

Suppose now that iii) holds. We have to prove that the remainder $r(X)$ of the Euclidean division in $K[X]$ of $f(X)$ by $p(X)$ is in $D[X]$. Let $m < n$ be the degree of $r(X)$. Consider the Newton expansion of $r(X)$ with respect to Ω_p over $F[X]$ as in Lemma 2.5 (see (2.2)). By assumption, the coefficients $\{\Phi^k(f)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1})\}_{k=0,\dots,m}$ of this expansion are in D_F. The leading coefficient of $r(X)$ is equal to $\Phi^m(f)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1})$, so that it is in $D_F \cap K = D$ (we use here the assumption that D is integrally closed). The coefficient c_{m-1} of the term X^{m-1} of $r(X)$ is $\Phi^{m-1}(f)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \pm (\sum_{i=1,\dots,m} \alpha_i) \cdot \Phi^m(f)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1})$ which is in D_F, so c_{m-1} is in $K \cap D_F = D$. If we continue in this way we prove that $r(X)$ is in $D[X]$, which gives i). □

Remark 2.7. If we choose another ordering on the multi-set Ω_p of roots of $p(X)$ we have other conditions of integrality on the values of the divided differences of a polynomial $f \in D(p)$ at the vectors of elements in Ω_p. Since condition i) of Proposition 2.6 does not depend on the order we choose on Ω_p, the above conditions are also equivalent to this one:

ii') for all $0 \leq k < n$, and for every sub-multi-set Ω of Ω_p of cardinality $k+1$, $\Phi^k(f)(\Omega) \in D[\Omega]$,

that is, $\Phi^k(f)$ is integral-valued on Ω: $\Phi^k(f)(\Omega) \in D_F$ (see also [16, Proposition 4.1 & Remark 4.1]).

Note that, if $p \in D[X]$ is a monic polynomial of degree n which is split over D, that is, $p(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - a_i)$, $a_i \in D$, then condition i) and ii) are equivalent without the assumption that D is integrally closed (this follows immediately from the formula (2.2)). In particular, condition ii) becomes: for all $0 \leq k < n$, $\Phi^k(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) \in D$. We have thus in this case found again the result of [7, Proposition 11] (see also [16, Lemma 2.2 & Remark 2.1]).

Now we give the link between the ring of integer-valued polynomials whose divided differences are also integer-valued introduced by Bhargava and the polynomial pullbacks $D(p)$ we are working with.

We observe first that, if $p \in D[X]$ is a monic polynomial of degree n which is split over D (i.e.: the set of roots Ω_p is contained in D), then $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n-1\}}(\Omega_p, D_F)$ may be strictly contained in $D(p)$.

Example 2.8. Let $n = 2$, $\Omega = \{1, 3\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $p(X) = (X-1)(X-3)$. Let $f(X) = p(X)/3 \in \mathbb{Z}(p)$. We have that $\Phi^1(f)(1,1) = -2/3$, so that $f \notin \mathrm{Int}^{\{1\}}(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})$.
Indeed, by Proposition 2.6, given any $f \in \mathbb{Z}(p)$, $\Phi^1(f)$ is integer-valued over $\{(1,3),(3,1)\} \subsetneq \Omega^2$.

We need to introduce another notation before the next theorem.

NOTATION. Let Ω be a subset of D and let n be a positive integer. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_n(\Omega)$ the set of monic polynomials $q(X)$ over D of degree n whose set of roots is contained in Ω (so, in particular, they are split over D).

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\Omega \subseteq D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Int}^{\{n-1\}}(\Omega, D) = \bigcap_{q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\Omega)} D(q).$$

Proof. ($\subseteq$). Let $f \in \mathrm{Int}^{\{n-1\}}(\Omega, D)$ and let $q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\Omega)$. Since for all $0 \leq k < n$ we have $\Phi^k(f)(\Omega^{k+1}) \subset D$, then for each sub-multi-set $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}\}$ of Ω_q of cardinality $k+1$ we have $\Phi^k(f)(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}) \in D$. Then by Proposition 2.6 (see also Remark 2.7), we have that $f \in D(q)$.

($\supseteq$). Let $f \in D(q)$, for all $q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\Omega)$. Let $k \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ and let $(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}) \in \Omega^{k+1}$. We consider a polynomial $q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\Omega)$ such that the multi-set $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}\}$ is a sub-multi-set of the multi-set of roots Ω_q (that is, $\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}(X - a_i)$ divides $q(X)$). Then by Proposition 2.6, condition ii), $\Phi^k(f)(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}) \in D$ (see also Remark 2.7, condition ii')). Since $(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1})$ was chosen arbitrarily, $f(X)$ is in $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n-1\}}(\Omega, D)$. □

In the Example 2.8 above, we have that $f(X) = \frac{q(X)}{3} - \frac{2}{3}(X-1)$ is not in $\mathbb{Z}(q)$, where $q(X) = (X-1)^2$ is a polynomial in $\mathcal{P}_2(\Omega) = \{(X-1)(X-3), (X-1)^2, (X-3)^2\}$.

Remark 2.10. By [16, Lemma 5.1], given a monic polynomial $p \in D[X]$ of degree n which is split over D, the pullback ring $D(p)$ is equal to $\mathrm{Int}_K(T_n^p(D), M_n(D))$, where $T_n^p(D)$ is the set of $n \times n$ triangular matrices with characteristic polynomial equal to $p(X)$. In particular, we have this representation for the ring of integer-valued polynomials over the algebra of $n \times n$ triangular matrices over D:

$$\mathrm{Int}_K(T_n(D)) = \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n^s(D)} D(p) \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n^s(D)$ is the set of monic polynomials over D of degree n which are split over D; as we mentioned in the introduction, a similar result holds for $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$, see [16]. We note that this gives a positive answer to [17, Question 31] for the algebra $T_n(D)$. Similarly, given any subset $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{P}_n^s(D)$, the intersection of the pullbacks $D(p)$ as $p(X)$ ranges through $\mathcal{P}$ is the ring of polynomials which are integer-valued over the set of triangular matrices whose characteristic polynomial belongs to $\mathcal{P}$. By Theorem 2.9, this ring is equal to $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n-1\}}(\Omega, D)$, where $\Omega \subseteq D$ is the set of roots of the polynomials in $\mathcal{P}$.

In the case $\Omega = D$, [7, Theorem 16] proves that $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n-1\}}(D) = \mathrm{Int}_K(T_n(D))$, which by (2.4) is also equal to the intersection of the pullbacks $D(p)$, as $p(X)$ ranges through $\mathcal{P}_n^s(D)$. Therefore, Theorem 2.9 generalizes this result to any subset Ω of D.

3 Integral closure of polynomial pullbacks

Remark 3.1. Let $\Omega \subset \overline{K}$ be a finite set. Let $F = K(\Omega)$ and let D_F be the integral closure of D in F. By [6, Proposition IV.4.1], $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega, D_F)$ is integrally closed. Hence, $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, D_F) = \mathrm{Int}(\Omega, D_F) \cap K[X]$ is integrally closed, too. The same remark was used in [17, Proposition 7].

Lemma 3.2. *Let D be an integrally closed domain. Let $p \in D[X]$ be a non-constant polynomial of degree n and $\Omega_p \subset \overline{K}$ the multi-set of its roots. Let $f \in K[X]$ be integral-valued over Ω_p, that is, $f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$. Then the polynomial*

$$P(X) = P_{f,p}(X) \doteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega_p} (X - f(\alpha))$$

is in $D[X]$. Moreover, $P(f(X))$ is divisible by $p(X)$ in $K[X]$.

Proof. Notice that $P(X)$ has degree n, because the product is over the elements of the multi-set Ω_p. We set $g(X) \doteq \frac{p(X)}{\mathrm{lc}(p)} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega_p}(X - \alpha)$, where $\mathrm{lc}(p)$ is the leading coefficient of $p(X)$. The polynomial $g(X)$ is in $K[X]$ and is monic.

Let $M \in M_n(K)$ be a matrix with characteristic polynomial equal to $g(X)$ (e.g., the companion matrix of $g(X)$). The multi-set of eigenvalues of M over $\overline{K}$ is exactly Ω_p. Notice that $f(M)$ is in $M_n(K)$, so its characteristic polynomial is in $K[X]$. By [3, Chap. VII, Proposition 10] (considering everything over $\overline{K}$) the characteristic polynomial of $f(M)$ is precisely $P(X)$. In particular, the set of eigenvalues of $f(M)$ is $f(\Omega_p) = \{f(\alpha) \,|\, \alpha \in \Omega_p\}$, which, by assumption on $f(X)$, is contained in $\overline{D}$. Hence, the coefficients of $P(X)$ are integral over D (being the elementary symmetric functions of the roots), and since D is integrally closed they are in D.

For the last statement, notice that for each $\alpha \in \Omega_p$, $X - \alpha$ divides $f(X) - f(\alpha)$ over $F = K(\Omega_p)$. Hence, $p(X) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega_p}(X - \alpha)$ divides $P(f(X)) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega_p}(f(X) - f(\alpha))$ over F. Since both polynomials are in $K[X]$, one divides the other over K, as we wanted. □

We prove now Theorem 1.3 of the Introduction. For the sake of the reader we repeat here the statement.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p \in D[X]$ be a monic non-constant polynomial and let $\Omega_p \subset \overline{K}$ be the multi-set of its roots. Then the integral closure of $D(p)$ is $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$.*

Notice that by definition $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D}) = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega'_p, \overline{D})$, where Ω'_p is the underlying set of Ω_p, the set of distinct roots of $p(X)$.

Proof. Remember that $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ is integrally closed by the Remark at the beginning of this section. If D' is the integral closure of D in its quotient field K, then $D(p) \subseteq D'(p)$ is an integral ring extension, because $D[X] \subseteq D'[X]$ is. Since $D(p) \subseteq D'(p) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ (because $p(X)$ is monic, so Ω_p is contained in $\overline{D}$), without loss of generality we may assume that D is integrally closed (that is, $D = D'$). To prove the statement, it suffices to prove that $D(p) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ is an integral ring extension.

Let $f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ and consider $P(X)$ defined as in Lemma 3.2. Then $P(X)$ is a monic polynomial in $D[X]$ such that $P(f(X))$ is divisible by $p(X)$ over K. Hence, $P(f(X))$ is in $D(p)$, and this gives a monic integral equation for $f(X)$ over the pullback ring $D(p)$. □

We prove now that the ring of polynomials in $K[X]$ whose divided differences of order up to n are integer-valued over a finite subset Ω of D has integral closure equal to the ring of polynomials which are integer-valued over Ω.

Corollary 3.4. *Let D be an integrally closed domain. Let $\Omega \subset D$ be a finite set and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the integral closure of $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(\Omega, D)$ is $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega, D)$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, it is sufficient to show that any $f \in \mathrm{Int}(\Omega, D)$ satisfies a monic equation over the ring $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(\Omega, D)$.

By Theorem 2.9, $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(\Omega, D)$ is equal to the intersection of the pullbacks $D(p)$, as $p(X)$ ranges through the finite family $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\Omega)$ of monic polynomials over D of degree $n+1$ whose set of roots is contained in

Ω. We consider the subset $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\Omega)$ of those polynomials of the form $q(X) = (X-a)^{n+1}$, for $a \in \Omega$. For each of them we consider the polynomial $P_{f,q} \in D[X]$ as defined in Lemma 3.2. Therefore

$$Q(X) \doteq \prod_{q \in \mathcal{P}} P_{f,q}(X)$$

is a monic polynomial in $D[X]$ such that $Q(f(X))$ is in $p(X)K[X]$ for each $p \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\Omega)$. In fact, let $p \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\Omega)$. If $a \in \Omega$ is a root of $p(X)$ of multiplicity $e \leq \deg(p) = n+1$, then $(X-a)^e$ divides $(f(X)-f(a))^{n+1}$ over K. Notice that the latter is a factor of $Q(f(X))$. Since this holds for every root of $p(X)$, then $p(X)$ divides $Q(f(X))$ over K, that is, $Q(f(X)) \in pK[X] \subset D(p)$. Since this holds for every $p \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\Omega)$, this concludes the proof of the Corollary. □

Remark 3.5. If $\Omega \subseteq D$ is an infinite set and D has finite residue rings (that is, D/dD is a finite ring for every non-zero $d \in D$), reasoning as in [17] by means of the pullback representation of $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(\Omega, D)$ given by Theorem 2.9, the same result of Corollary 3.4 holds. For $\Omega = D$, the result was given in [17, Corollary 17], where it is proved that the integral closure of $\mathrm{Int}_K(T_{n+1}(D))$ is $\mathrm{Int}(D)$. Note that, by [7, Theorem 16], the former ring is equal to $\mathrm{Int}^{\{n\}}(D)$ (see Remark 2.10).

4 Prüfer rings of integral-valued polynomials

The next lemma, though easy, is a crucial step to establish when $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, \overline{D})$ is a Prüfer domain, for a finite set Ω of integral elements over D.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p \in D[X]$ be a monic non-constant polynomial and $K \subseteq F$ be an algebraic extension. Let D_F the integral closure of D in F. Then $D(p) \subseteq D_F(p)$ is an integral ring extension.*

Proof. We use the well-known fact that the integral closure of $D[X]$ in $F[X]$ is $D_F[X]$ ([2, Proposition 13, Chapt. V]). Hence, given $f(X) = r(X) + p(X)q(X) \in D_F(p)$, for some $r \in D_F[X]$ ($r = 0$ or $\deg(r) < \deg(p)$) and $q \in F[X]$, the polynomial $r(X)$ is integral over $D[X]$, so in particular it is also integral also over $D(p)$. We show now that $h(X) = p(X)q(X) \in p(X) \cdot F[X]$ is integral over $D(p)$.

It is easy to see that, if $\Psi_q(T, X)$ is the minimal polynomial of $q(X)$ over $K[X]$, then the minimal polynomial of $h(X)$ over $K[X]$ is given by $\Psi_h(T, X) = p^n \cdot \Psi_q(\frac{T}{p}, X)$, which is a monic polynomial in T over D. Notice that the coefficients of $\Psi_h(T, X) - T^n$ are in $p(X) \cdot K[X]$, so that $\Psi_h(T, X) \in D(p)[T]$. This proves our assertion. □

We prove now Theorem 1.4 of the Introduction.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that D is integrally closed and let Ω be a finite subset of $\overline{D}$. Then $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, \overline{D})$ is Prüfer if and only if D is Prüfer.*

Proof. Given $f(X)$ in $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, \overline{D})$ and $\alpha \in \Omega$, $f(X)$ is integral-valued over all the conjugates of α over K (see Proposition 1.10). Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that Ω is equal to the set of roots Ω_p of a monic polynomial $p(X)$ over D (more precisely, $p(X)$ is the product of all the minimal polynomials of the elements of Ω, without repetitions).

Let $F = K(\Omega_p)$ be the splitting field of $p(X)$ over D and let D_F be the integral closure of D in F. By assumption, $\Omega_p \subset D_F$. Remember that $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D}) = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$ (see the remarks after Proposition 1.10). By the result of McQuillan ([13, Corollary 7]), $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega_p, D_F)$ is a Prüfer domain if and only if D_F is a

Prüfer domain. Since D is integrally closed, by [10, Theorem 22.3 & 22.4] D is Prüfer if and only if D_F is Prüfer. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D_F(p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Int}(\Omega_p, D_F) & \longrightarrow & F[X] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ D(p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F) & \longrightarrow & K[X] \end{array}$$

By Theorem 3.3, $D(p) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$ and $D_F(p) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}(\Omega_p, D_F)$ are integral ring extensions. Hence, by Lemma 4.1, $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega_p, D_F)$ is integral over $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$. Moreover, since the former ring is integrally closed, it is the integral closure of the latter ring in $F[X]$. Finally, we have these equivalences:

$$D \text{ Prüfer} \Leftrightarrow D_F \text{ Prüfer} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Int}(\Omega_p, D_F) \text{ Prüfer} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F) \text{ Prüfer}$$

where the last equivalence follows again by [10, Theorem 22.3 & 22.4] ($\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$ is integrally closed by Remark 3.1). □

As we recalled in the introduction, the intersection of the polynomial pullbacks $D(p)$ arises in many different contexts, especially those concerning rings of integer-valued polynomials over algebras. In section 2 we saw that the ring of integer-valued polynomials whose divided differences are also integer-valued can be represented as an intersection of such pullbacks. We now investigate more deeply how these pullbacks intersect with each other. As a corollary, we obtain a criterion for a pullback $D(p)$ to be integrally closed.

At the beginning of Section 1.3 we recalled that a monic irreducible polynomial over an integrally closed domain D is still irreducible over the quotient field K. Moreover, a monic polynomial $p \in D[X]$ can be uniquely factored into monic irreducible polynomials over D (see [12]; this is a sort of Gauss' Lemma for monic polynomials over an integrally closed domain). Therefore, given a monic polynomial $p(X)$ in $D[X]$, we have $p(X) = \prod_i q_i(X)$, where $q_i(X)$ are powers of monic irreducible polynomials in $D[X]$. In particular, the $q_i(X)$'s are pairwise coprime in $K[X]$ (but they may not be coprime over D, see below). A polynomial $p(X)$ is square-free exactly when each $q_i(X)$ is irreducible. Notice that $p(X)K[X]$ is an ideal of each pullback $D(q_i)$, for all i. In particular, it is an ideal of the intersection of the rings $D(q_i)$.

The next proposition is a generalization of Lemma 1.6. Recall that two ideals I, J of a commutative ring R are coprime if $I + J = R$ (see [2, Chapt. 2, p. 53]). For this statement we do not require D to be integrally closed. Given $q_1, q_2 \in D[X]$, we simply say that $q_1(X)$ and $q_2(X)$ are coprime (over D) if the corresponding principal ideals $q_1(X)D[X]$ and $q_2(X)D[X]$ are coprime.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $p \in D[X]$ be a monic polynomial. Let $p(X) = \prod_i q_i(X)$ be a factorization into monic polynomials over D which are pairwise coprime when they are considered over K. Then*

$$\frac{\bigcap_i D(q_i)}{p(X)K[X]} \cong \prod_i \frac{D[X]}{q_i(X)D[X]}.$$

Moreover, $D(p) = \bigcap_i D(q_i)$ if and only if $\{q_i(X)\}_i$ are pairwise coprime over D.

Note that two polynomials $q_1, q_2 \in D[X]$ may be coprime over K without being coprime over D: for example, $q_1(X) = X$ and $q_2(X) = X - 2$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. However, under this condition, it is easy to verify that $q_1(X)D[X] \cap q_2(X)D[X] = q_1(X)q_2(X)D[X]$.

Proof. It is sufficient to notice that $\bigcap_i D(q_i)$ is the pullback of

$$\prod_i \frac{D[X]}{q_i(X)D[X]} \subset \prod_i \frac{K[X]}{q_i(X)K[X]} \cong \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$$

with respect to the canonical residue mapping

$$\pi : K[X] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]},$$

that is:

$$\pi^{-1}(\prod_i \frac{D[X]}{q_i(X)D[X]}) = \bigcap_i D(q_i).$$

Indeed, by definition we have

$$\pi^{-1}\left(\prod_i \frac{D[X]}{q_i(X)D[X]}\right) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f \pmod{q_i(X)K[X]} \in \frac{D[X]}{q_i(X)D[X]}, \forall i\}.$$

Since each $q_i(X)$ is monic, by Lemma 1.6 this is equivalent to the fact that the remainder of the division of $f(X)$ by $q_i(X)$ is in $D[X]$, that is, $f(X)$ is in $D(q_i)$, hence the statement regarding the isomorphism. We have then the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(p) & \hookrightarrow & \bigcap_i D(q_i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]} & \hookrightarrow & \prod_i \frac{D[X]}{q_i(X)D[X]} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the quotient map modulo the common ideal $p(X)K[X]$. Note that the bottom horizontal arrow is injective by the remark above before the proof. Then $D(p) = \bigcap_i D(q_i)$ if and only if $D[X]/p(X)D[X]$ and $\prod_i D[X]/q_i(X)D[X]$ are isomorphic. By the converse of the Chinese Remainder Theorem (see [2, Chapt. 2, §1, Proposition 5]) this holds if and only if the principal ideals $q_i(X)D[X]$ are pairwise coprime. □

Recall that, given two polynomials $p_1, p_2 \in D[X]$, the principal ideals $p_i(X)D[X]$, $i = 1, 2$, are coprime if and only if the resultant $\mathrm{Res}(p_1, p_2)$ is a unit of D if and only if p_1, p_2 have no common root modulo any maximal ideal $M \subset D$. Notice that $p_1(X), p_2(X)$ are coprime in $K[X]$ if and only if $\mathrm{Res}(p_1, p_2) \neq 0$.

The next proposition is a generalization of Remark 1.9: given a monic non-constant square-free polynomial $p(X)$ in $D[X]$, we determine the quotient ring of $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ modulo the principal ideal $p(X)K[X]$. Note that in the case $\Omega_p \subset D$, we have $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D}) = \mathrm{Int}(\Omega_p, D)$ and we are in the case already treated (essentially by McQuillan).

Proposition 4.4. *Let $p \in D[X]$ be a monic non-constant polynomial which is square-free, say $p(X) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i(X)$, where $p_i(X)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, are monic, distinct and irreducible polynomials over D. Then*

$$\frac{\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})}{p(X)K[X]} \cong \prod_{i=1}^k D_{K_i}$$

where D_{K_i} is the integral closure of D in the field $K_i \cong \frac{K[X]}{p_i(X)K[X]}$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Proof. For each $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we set $K_i \doteq K[X]/(p_i(X)) \cong K[\alpha_i]$, which is a finite field extension of K, where α_i is a (fixed) root of $p_i(X)$. Let also D_{K_i} be the integral closure of D in K_i, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. By assumption on the $p_i(X)$'s, $D[X]/(p_i(X)D[X]) \cong D[\alpha_i] \subset K[\alpha_i]$. Note that $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D}) = \mathrm{Int}_K(\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}, \overline{D})$: if $f \in K[X]$ is integral-valued on α_i then it is integral-valued on every conjugate root of α of α_i, that is on the set of roots Ω_{p_i} (see also Proposition 1.10).

As we remarked in the introduction, the rings $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D}) \subset K[X]$ have the ideal $p(X)K[X]$ in common, so that $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ is a pullback with respect to the canonical residue map $\pi : K[X] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$. The

polynomial ring $K[X]$ is mapped to $K[X]/(p(X)) \cong \prod_{i=1}^k K[\alpha_i]$ by the map which sends X to $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$, so that a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ is mapped to $(f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_k))$.

In the same way as in Proposition 4.3 we have just to prove that $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D}) = \pi^{-1}(\prod_{i=1}^k D_{K_i})$. By definition,

$$\pi^{-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^k D_{K_i}\right) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(\alpha_i) \in D_{K_i}, \forall i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

so that a polynomial $f(X)$ is in this ring if and only if it is integral-valued on every α_i, that is, $f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}, \overline{D})$. □

An equivalent statement of Proposition 4.4 is the following: let Ω be a finite subset of $\overline{D}$ and let $p \in D[X]$ be the product of the minimal polynomials $p_1(X), \dots, p_k(X)$ of the elements of Ω, without repetitions. Then the quotient of $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega, \overline{D})$ modulo $p(X)K[X]$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^k D_{K_i}$, where D_{K_i} is as in the statement of Proposition 4.4. We notice that a proof of Theorem 4.2 follows also in another way by [5, Theorem 4.3], due to Proposition 4.4.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $p \in D[X]$ be a monic non-constant polynomial. Suppose that $p(X) = \prod_{k=1,\dots,k} p_i(X)^{e_i}$ is the unique factorization of $p(X)$ into powers of monic irreducible polynomials in $D[X]$, $e_i \geq 1$. Then $D(p)$ is integrally closed if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

i) $p(X)$ is squarefree (i.e.: $e_i = 1$ for all i).

ii) for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $D[X]/(p_i(X)) \cong D_{K_i}$, where the latter is the integral closure of D in the field $K_i \cong K[X]/(p_i(X))$.

iii) $\mathrm{Res}(p_i, p_j) \in D^*$ for each $i \neq j$.

If D is a Prüfer domain, $D(p)$ is integrally closed if and only if $D(p)$ is a Prüfer domain, and in that case $D(p) = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$.

Proof. Suppose that $D(p)$ is integrally closed. If $p(X)$ is not squarefree, then some exponent e_i is strictly greater than 1. Let $q(X) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i(X)$ be the square-free part of $p(X)$. By assumption, $q(X) \neq p(X)$ and $q(X)$ divides $p(X)$. So by Lemma 1.7, $D(p) \subsetneq D(q)$. Since $q(X)$ has the same set of roots of $p(X)$, $D(q)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$. Hence, $D(p)$ cannot be equal to $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, D_F)$ which is in contradiction with Theorem 3.3. Then $p(X)$ is square-free.

By Propositions 4.3 and 4.4 (we retain the same notation of those Propositions) we have the following diagram of pullbacks (notice that $\Omega_p = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Omega_{p_i}$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_{p_i}, \overline{D}) = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$), where the vertical lines are the reduction map modulo $p(X)K[X]$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D(p) & \hookrightarrow & \bigcap_i D(p_i) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D}) & \hookrightarrow & K[X] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]} & \hookrightarrow & \prod_i D[\alpha_i] & \hookrightarrow & \prod_i D_{K_i} & \hookrightarrow & \prod_i K[\alpha_i] \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

Obviously, $D(p)$ is integrally closed if and only if $D(p) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k D(p_i)$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^k D(p_i) = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$.

Since $D(p) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k D(p_i)$, by Proposition 4.3 this condition is equivalent to condition iii). Looking at the above diagram, $\bigcap_{i=1}^k D(p_i) = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ if and only if $\frac{D[X]}{p_i(X)D[X]} = D_{K_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, which is condition ii).

Conversely, suppose conditions i), ii) and iii) hold. Then looking at the above pullback diagram again, we have that $D(p)$ is equal to $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$, hence, by Theorem 3.3, $D(p)$ is integrally closed.

Suppose now D is a Prüfer domain. If $D(p) = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ then $D(p)$ is a Prüfer domain by Theorem 4.2. Conversely, if $D(p)$ is Prüfer then it is integrally closed. The very last assertion follows at once by Theorem 3.3. □

In the next examples we show that the theorem does not hold if we remove one of the conditions.

Example 4.6. Let $p_1(X) = X^2 + 1, p_2(X) = X^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $p(X) = p_1(X)p_2(X)$. The resultant $\mathrm{Res}(p_1, p_2)$ is equal to 9. Moreover, $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(i) \supset O_{K_1} = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(p_1(X))$ and $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \supset O_{K_2} = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(p_2(X))$.
Then $\mathbb{Z}(p_1) \cap \mathbb{Z}(p_2) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Omega_p, \overline{\mathbb{Z}})$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.5 and the diagram (4.1)) but $\mathbb{Z}(p_1 \cdot p_2) = \mathbb{Z}(p) \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}(p_1) \cap \mathbb{Z}(p_2)$ (Proposition 4.3). Notice that $\mathbb{Z}(p_1), \mathbb{Z}(p_2)$ are integrally closed: $\mathbb{Z}(p_i) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Omega_{p_i}, \overline{\mathbb{Z}})$ for $i = 1, 2$, but $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ is not integrally closed. Here, condition iii) of Theorem 4.5 is not satisfied.

Example 4.7. $p_1(X) = X^2 - 5$, $p_2(X) = X^2 - 6$. The resultant of $p_1(X)$ and $p_2(X)$ is equal to 1. Then $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \supset O_{K_1} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}] \supsetneq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(p_1(X))$ and $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}) \supset O_{K_2} = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(p_2(X))$.
Then $\mathbb{Z}(p_1) \cap \mathbb{Z}(p_2) \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Omega_p, \overline{\mathbb{Z}})$ but $\mathbb{Z}(p) = \mathbb{Z}(p_1) \cap \mathbb{Z}(p_2)$. Hence, $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ is not integrally closed, because condition ii) of Theorem 4.5 is not satisfied.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $p \in D[X]$ be a monic polynomial over D which is split in D. Then $D(p)$ is integrally closed if and only if the discriminant of $p(X)$ is a unit in D.*

Notice that if the latter condition holds, in particular $p(X)$ is separable, that is, it has no repeated roots. We denote by $\Delta(p)$ the discriminant of $p(X)$.

Proof. Let $\Omega_p = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \subset D$ be the multi-set of roots of $p(X)$. By Theorem 3.3 the integral closure of $D(p)$ is $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega_p, D) = \bigcap_i D(X - \alpha_i)$.

It is enough to observe that $\Delta(p) = \prod_{i<j}(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$ and that if $p_i(X) = X - \alpha_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then $\mathrm{Res}(p_i, p_j) = \pm(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$. Then by Theorem 4.5 we conclude. □

Remark 4.9. The statement is false if we do not assume that $p(X)$ is split over D. For example, let $D = \mathbb{Z}$ and $p(X) = X^2 - 2$. Then $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ is integrally closed by Proposition 1.10 (see also Theorem 4.5), because $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is the ring of integers O_K of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, so $\mathbb{Z}(p) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\{\pm\sqrt{2}\}, O_K)$. However, $\Delta(p) = 8$. This implies that the pullback $O_K(p) \subset K[X]$ is not integrally closed: the polynomial $f(X) = \frac{X-\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}}$ is in $\mathrm{Int}(\{\pm\sqrt{2}\}, O_K)$ and not in $O_K(p)$, and by Theorem 3.3 $f(X)$ is integral over $O_K(p)$.

Remark 4.10. We can prove Theorem 3.3 by means of a pullback diagram argument. By Lemma 1.6 and Proposition 4.4, looking at the diagram (4.1), by [8, Lemma 1.1.4 (8)], $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_p, \overline{D})$ is the integral closure of $D(p)$, since $\prod_i D_{K_i}$ is the integral closure of $\frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]}$ in $\frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$. Indeed, it is known that $\frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$ is the total quotient ring of $\frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]}$ (see the proof of [14, Theorem 10.15]). Hence, by [10, Proposition 2.7], $\frac{K[X]}{p(X)K[X]}$ is the total quotient ring of every subring containing $\frac{D[X]}{p(X)D[X]}$, and in particular of $\prod_i D[\alpha_i]$. By [2, Proposition 9, Chapt. V], $\prod_{i=1}^k D_{K_i}$ is the integral closure of D in $\prod_{i=1}^k K[\alpha_i]$. Since each α_i is integral over D, it follows that $\prod_{i=1}^k D_{K_i}$ is the integral closure of $\prod_{i=1}^k D[\alpha_i]$ in $\prod_{i=1}^k K[\alpha_i]$.

5 General case of a finite set of integral elements over D

We show in this section how to apply the previous results to the more general setting mentioned in the introduction, namely when the finite set of integral elements over D is not necessarily contained in an algebraic extension of K. We recall the assumptions we mentioned in the introduction.

For simplicity, we assume that D is integrally closed. Let A be a D-algebra, possibly non-commutative and with zero-divisors, which is finitely generated and torsion-free as a D-module. Note that every element a of A is integral over D. Let $\mu_a(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of a over D, which is not necessarily irreducible. To be precise, $\mu_a(X)$ is the monic generator of the ideal of $K[X]$ of those polynomials which are zero on a. Since D is supposed integrally closed and a is integral over D, $\mu_a \in D[X]$ (so that $\mu_a(X)$

is also the generator of the ideal of $D[X]$ of those polynomials which are zero at a). For short, we set $\Omega_a = \Omega_{\mu_a}$, the set of roots in $\overline{D}$ of $\mu_a(X)$. We may evaluate polynomials of $K[X]$ at the elements of A in the extended K-algebra $B = A \otimes_D K$ (note that, by assumption, K and A embed into B). Given a subset S of A, we consider the ring of integer-valued polynomials over S:

$$\mathrm{Int}_K(S, A) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(S) \subset A\}.$$

For $S = A$, we have the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(A, A) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ of integer-valued polynomials over A. For more details about this setting we refer to [17]. As in [17], we consider polynomials over K whose evaluation at the elements of S are not necessarily in A, but are still integral over D. For this reason, we call them *integral-valued* polynomials over S, since they preserve the integrality of the elements of S. We retain the notation introduced in [17].

Definition 5.1. Let $K[S]$ be the K-subalgebra of $B = A \otimes_D K$ generated by K and the elements of S. Let also S' be the subset of $K[S]$ of those elements which are integral over D. We set

$$\mathrm{Int}_K(S, S') = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(S) \subset S'\}$$

which we call integral-valued polynomials over S.

Note that in general S' does not form a ring, if A is non-commutative (even if S is a ring; for example, consider the case $A = M_n(D)$). Nevertheless, $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, S')$ does form a ring by the argument given in [17, Proposition 6]: in order to show that $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, S')$ is closed under addition and multiplication, it is sufficient to consider what happens point-wise and use the fact that for each $s \in S$, $K[s]$ is a commutative K-algebra. We note that the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, S')$ is equal to the ring of polynomials in $K[X]$ such that $f(s)$ (which a priori is in $K[s] \subseteq B$) is integral over D for each $s \in S$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, A) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(S, S')$, because every element of A is integral over D. The key result which links the ring of integral-valued polynomials $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, S')$ to a previous ring of integral-valued polynomials over a subset Ω of $\overline{D}$ is the following.

Theorem 5.2. *[17, Theorem 9] Let S be a subset of A and set $\Omega_S = \bigcup_{s\in S} \Omega_s \subset \overline{D}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Int}_K(S, S') = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_S, \overline{D}).$$

Proof. For the sake of the reader we give the proof. Since $1 \in D \subset B$, we may embed B into the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_K(B)$, via the map given by multiplication on the left by $b \in B$. In particular, A is a sub-D-algebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(B)$, and for $s \in S$, Ω_s is the set of eigenvalues (in $\overline{K}$) of s considered as a K-endomorphism of B. Since A is finitely generated as a D-module, by [3, Chapt. VII, §. 5, Proposition 10], for any polynomial $f \in K[X]$, $f(\Omega_s) = \{f(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Omega_s\}$ is the set of eigenvalues of $f(s)$, so that in our notation $f(\Omega_s) = \Omega_{f(s)}$. Given $f \in K[X]$ and $s \in S$, $f(s)$ is integral over D if and only if the elements of $\Omega_{f(s)} = f(\Omega_s)$ are integral over D (because D is integrally closed). The claim is then proved. □

We are ready to give the proof of the last main result of the paper, see Corollary 1.5 of the Introduction.

Corollary 5.3. *Let S be a finite subset of A and $\Omega_S = \bigcup_{s\in S} \Omega_s \subset \overline{D}$. Then the integral closure of $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, A)$ is $\mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_S, \overline{D})$.*

Proof. Let $p(X) = \prod_{s\in S} \mu_s(X) \in D[X]$. By above, we have the following inclusions:

$$D(p) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(S, A) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(S, S') = \mathrm{Int}_K(\Omega_S, \overline{D})$$

and the claim follows by Theorem 3.3. □

Note that by Theorem 4.2, the ring $\mathrm{Int}_K(S, A)$ has Prüfer integral closure if and only if D is Prüfer.

Acknowledgements.
We wish to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions which improved the quality of the paper. The author was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF), Project Number P23245-N18, INDAM and also University of Roma 3. The paper was prepared during a visit at the Department of Mathematics and Physics of the University of Roma 3. The author wishes to thanks Francesca Tartarone for the hospitality.

References

[1] M. Bhargava. *On P-orderings, Rings of Integer-Valued Polynomials and Ultrametric Analysis*, Journal of the Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (4) (2009), 963-993.

[2] N. Bourbaki. Commutative Algebra. Hermann, Paris; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass. (1972)

[3] N. Bourbaki: Algebra. Translated from the French by P. M. Cohn and J. Howie. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. vii+461 pp.

[4] J. G. Boynton, S. Sather-Wagstaff. *Regular pullbacks*. Progress in commutative algebra 2, 145-169, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012.

[5] J. G. Boynton. *Pullbacks of arithmetical rings*. Comm. Algebra 35 (2007), no. 9, 2671-2684.

[6] J.-P. Cahen, J.-L. Chabert, *Integer-valued polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys and Monographs, 48, Providence, 1997.

[7] S. Evrard, Y. Fares, K. Johnson. *Integer valued polynomials on lower triangular integer matrices*, Monats. für Math. 170 (2013), no. 2, 147-160.

[8] M. Fontana, J. A. Huckaba, I. J. Papick. *Prüfer domains*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 203. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1997.

[9] S. Gabelli, E. Houston. *Ideal Theory in Pullbacks*, Non-Noetherian commutative ring theory, 199-227, Math. Appl., 520, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.

[10] R. Gilmer. *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Corrected reprint of the 1972 edition. Queen's University, Kingston, ON, 1992.

[11] M. Griffin. *Prüfer rings with zero divisors*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 239/240 1969 55-67.

[12] S. McAdam. *Unique factorization of monic polynomials*. Comm. Algebra 29 (2001), no. 10, 4341-4343.

[13] D. L. McQuillan. *Rings of integer-valued polynomials determined by finite sets*. Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 85 (1985), no. 2, 177-184.

[14] M. Nagata. *Local rings*. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 13 Interscience Publishers a division of John Wiley & Sons New York-London 1962.

[15] G. Peruginelli. *Integral-valued polynomials over sets of algebraic integers of bounded degree*, J. Number Theory 137 (2014) 241-255.

[16] G. Peruginelli. *Integer-valued polynomials over matrices and divided differences*, Monatsh. Math. 173 (2014), no. 4, 559-571.

[17] G. Peruginelli, N. Werner. *Integral closure of rings of integer-valued polynomials on algebras*, in "Commutative Algebra: Recent Advances in Commutative Rings, Integer-Valued Polynomials, and Polynomial Functions", M. Fontana, S. Frisch and S. Glaz (editors), Springer 2014, pp. 293-305.

[18] J. F. Steffensen. *Note on divided differences*, Danske Vid. Selsk. Math.-Fys. Medd. 17,(1939). no. 3.

[19] B. L. van der Waerden. *Algebra. Vol. I.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.